### **Colton Joint Unified School District**

Frank Miranda, Ed.D., Superintendent Gregory Fromm, Assistant Superintendent, Business Services Division Owen Chang, Director of Facilities and Energy Management



#### **BOARD OF EDUCATION**

Ms. Joanne E. Thoring-Ojeda, President

Mr. Frank A. Ibarra, Vice-President

Mr. Dan Flores, Clerk

Ms. Bertha Flores

Mr. Israel Fuentes

Ms. Patt Haro

Ms. Berenice Sandoval

Date: September 22, 2023

Commitment to Equal Opportunity

### **ADDENDUM 1**

COLTON JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS - DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES (DESIGN-BUILD) FOR DISTRICT WIDE VIDEO SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM WITH ANALYTICS PROJECT

#### **EXTENSION TO QUALIFICATION DUE DATE**

The District has extended the date that qualifications are due from 4:00 PM on September 26, 2023 to 4:00 PM on September 29, 2023.

#### **NOTICE TO VENDORS**

The Colton Joint Unified School District ("**District**") issues this is Addendum No. 1 to the above-referenced Request for Qualifications ("**RFQ**"). Any capitalized term not herein specifically defined shall have the same meaning as given in the RFQ, unless specifically defined herein.

#### **REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

The Requests for Information (RFI) received by the District are provided below with answers:

1. Regarding maintaining a full-service office within 75 miles of the District Attendance Boundary, our company is about 90 miles from the District Attendance Boundary. Will that be acceptable?

Answer: Although the Firm can be located anywhere, it must maintain a full-service office within 100 miles of the District Attendance Boundary.

2. Regarding the requirement to hold a Class B or C-10 license, will it be acceptable to have the license-holders be subcontractors, or does the prime bidder need to hold the B / C-10 as well?

Answer: The Firm needs to hold a contractor's license, but it can be any appropriate license. Therefore, revise the second bullet in the boxed information on page 1 of the RFQ/P with the following:

Hold a contractor's license that is current, valid, and in good standing with the California Contractor's State License Board.

3. Will the district be providing AutoCad drawings for all locations?

Answer: The District will provide its drawings to qualified firms during the next phase, which is the Request for Proposals phase. The District does not have drawings for every site.

4. If not what form of drawings will be provided for each site?

Answer: The District will provide its drawings to qualified firms during the next phase, which is the Request for Proposals phase. The District does not have drawings for every site.

5. Is the district requiring a stamp set of drawings from an Architect i.e. construction, asbuilts?

Answer: The successful Firm(s) will be required to provide architect-stamped or engineer-stamped drawings for the work it performs.

6. Is there any known asbestos areas anywhere within the district? Who is to handle the removal?

Answer: The District will perform all abatement under a separate contract, not with the successful Firm(s).

7. Is the district requiring ALL Drawings to be submitted to DSA as noted in RFP, under what code is this requirement being based on for surveillance system being referenced? Typically, this design build scopes don't have to go DSA, help us understand without seeing the sites why DSA should be involved?

Answer: Please see Sec. 1.1 of the RFQ/P: "The District is unsure if the Project or portions of the Project at particular Sites will require DSA approval and the District expects the successful Firm to make that determination based on its design and the requirements of Title 24 and DSA requirements, and any exemption provided by the ESSER III grant funding."

8. Will the district provided Auto Cad show all existing electrical conduit paths?

Answer: The District will provide its drawings to qualified firms during the next phase, which is the Request for Proposals phase. The District does not have drawings for every site.

Page 3

9. Does the district anticipate having this project cover any NEW electrical sub panels or new outlets by the C10 Contractor? If so, what is the plan check process for new electrical with the district do they possess an IOR on site?

Answer: The successful Firm(s) will be required to verify existing conditions and determine the need for panels and/or outlets at each site. The District will be employing a DSA project inspector/IOR as required.

**10**. Is the district requiring a stamp set of ALL Drawings from an Electrical Engineer?

Answer: The successful Firm(s) will be required to provide architect-stamped or engineer-stamped drawings for the work it performs, which may be an electrical engineer if that is applicable and appropriate.

**11**. Under Acceptance Testing, will the district require a printout of all tested wire and cable?

Answer: Yes.

12. Will the district be ok with an open wire concept and design to save money on conduit, as long as it follows code?

Answer: This RFI relates to a topic that will be resolved with the successful Firm(s) later, during design. The District is limiting its responses at this time to RFIs related to the submission of qualifications.

**13**. Does the district have a Network Map of each location?

Answer: The District will provide network map(s) to the successful Firm(s) later. Those should not be needed for a Firm to provide its qualifications.

14. If not, will this also be required in the scope of the design build to layout all network devices and addresses?

Answer: Yes.

15. If so, will a RCDD be required by awarded contractor?

Answer: Yes.

16. Will the district be requiring the contractor to possess a CCNA Certification to work on their network?

Answer: CCNA Certification is preferred.

17. Does the district have a Cat5 or Cat6 standard or color?

Answer: Yes, but this RFI relates to a topic that will be resolved with the successful Firm(s) later, during design. The District is limiting its responses at this time to RFIs related to the submission of qualifications.

18. Does the district know the connectivity (ie Fiber) to each school?

Answer: This RFI relates to a topic that will be resolved with the successful Firm(s) later, during design. The District is limiting its responses at this time to RFIs related to the submission of qualifications.

19. Does the district require managed or unmanaged switches?

Answer: Managed.

20. Is the district requiring certain certification to design the system?

Answer: Although this will be finalized with the successful Firm(s), the District believes that the VMS and schematic design will require manufacturer certification, that cable and conduit will require RCDD, and that camera installation will require manufacturer certification.

21. Is the district requiring all installers to be Manufacture Certified?

Answer: Yes, the District is seeking vendors with CCNA certification.

22. Is the district requiring a certain certification to design the Video Management Systems Storage and Calculations, an architect is not qualified?

Answer: Yes, please see previous response to Question #20.

23. Does the district require cameras to have, edge recording devices supplied?

Answer: This RFI relates to a topic that will be resolved with the successful Firm(s) later, during design. The District is limiting its responses at this time to RFIs related to the submission of qualifications.

24. Does the district require a Fail-Over Server?

Answer: This RFI relates to a topic that will be resolved with the successful Firm(s) later, during design. The District is limiting its responses at this time to RFIs related to the submission of qualifications.

25. Does the District require a Management Server?

Answer: This RFI relates to a topic that will be resolved with the successful Firm(s) later, during design. The District is limiting its responses at this time to RFIs related to the submission of qualifications.

26. Does the district require any Backup Servers?

Answer: This RFI relates to a topic that will be resolved with the successful Firm(s) later, during design. The District is limiting its responses at this time to RFIs related to the submission of qualifications.

27. Regarding Liquidated Damages: typical DSA takes 3-6months for one plan approval (please extend the project out to 2026 or longer)

Answer: Due to funding requirements, the District cannot extend the Project's schedule. The District would only assess liquidated damages pursuant to the provisions in the Agreement Form, which are generally tied to delays caused by the Firm(s).

28. Will this impact the financing or budget that the district has approved?

Answer: Yes.

29. Regarding the "Buy American Act" mentioned in the 4th section on page 14 of the document. Does the new federal grant available for CJUSD comply with this regulation? In other words, should the proposed solution adhere to the "Buy American" Act?

Answer: The Buy American Act does not apply to ESSER or GEER funds, but domestic preference for procurements does apply. The language in the RFQ is from a "frequently asked questions" publication by the U.S. Department of Education.

30. Just to clarify, within this response, as phase 1 "SOQ", should we include a 50% design method per schools mentioned in attachment 1? Does this entail that the Firm should furnish costs related to individual cameras or any other construction and labor expenses? Or only high-level placement of cameras on available Geo maps and allocate AI application to each is sufficient?

Answer: The District is not requesting this type of pricing information at this time. The District is limiting its responses at this time to RFIs related to the submission of qualifications.

31. What is the uplink/downlink speeds at both the district level and each individual school? Additionally, could you provide details regarding the type of connectivity that exists between the schools and the district office?

Answer: This RFI relates to a topic that will be resolved with the successful Firm(s) later, during design. The District is limiting its responses at this time to RFIs related to the submission of qualifications.

32. Has there been a budget established for the project?

Answer: This RFI relates to a topic that will be resolved with the successful Firm(s) later, during design. The District is limiting its responses at this time to RFIs related to the submission of qualifications.

33. Can a sign in sheet be shared from the mandatory pre-qual Zoom?

Answer: The list is attached to this Addendum.

34. How many contractors are you intending to select or split up the quantity of schools?

Answer: The District will make this decision based on many factors, including the capacity and qualifications of Firm(s) that respond to the RFQ.

35. Since it will be split up amongst a few, will 1 design team be chosen for all sites?

Answer: The District will make this decision based on many factors, including the capacity and qualifications of Firm(s) that respond to the RFQ.

36. During the mandatory conference it was mention that CUSD was thinking to pick multiple GC's for this project based on 28 schools that needs to be done in that time frame that is allotted for this project. Do you know how much GC's will you be awarding to do this project?

Answer: The District will make this decision based on many factors, including the capacity and qualifications of Firm(s) that respond to the RFQ.

37. Having to pick multiple GC's to do a fix amount of schools will we still have to bond the whole 10 million or just for the amount of schools that each GC will be doing?

Answer: Bond amounts will be tied to each individual contract with each Firm(s).

**38.** Would make more sense if CJUSD pick (1) qualified Designer & Network Infrastructure to do all 28 schools vs having multiple Designer & Network Infrastructure Contractors.

Answer: No response needed.

39. What are the hours that work can be performed, (1st, 2nd, or 3rd Shifts).

Answer: This RFI relates to a topic that will be resolved with the successful Firm(s) later, during design. The District is limiting its responses at this time to RFIs related to the submission of qualifications

40. Will there be access to the sites on weekends.

Answer: This RFI relates to a topic that will be resolved with the successful Firm(s) later, during design. The District is limiting its responses at this time to RFIs related to the submission of qualifications

**41**. Is this a PLA project?

Answer: No, but there will be a skilled and trained workforce requirement pursuant to Public Contract Code § 2600, et seq.

42. Does the Contractor or Subcontractors have to be Union?

Answer: No.

43. Is there any asbestos within any of these school sites? If so what is the Districts procedure for handling/working within a space where asbestos is present.

Answer: The Firm(s) should not have any abatement scope of work in its scope of work since the District will have tested and abated any hazardous materials under a separate, earlier contract.

44. If the sites are to be split up between contractors is there going to be a Camera system the District is going to standardize on? Or is it acceptable to have multiple different camera systems within this project. (i.e. one school using Avigilon Cameras and another one using Axis Cameras, etc.)

Answer: The District will make this decision based on many factors, including the capacity and qualifications of Firm(s) that respond to the RFQ, but the District intends to select one VMS, but may allow different camera systems.

45. Per the RFQ, "Although the Firm can be located anywhere, it must maintain a full-service office within 75 miles of the District Attendance Boundary." Confirming if it would suffice that team members or subcontractors of the awarded contractor are within that mileage?

Answer: No, but the District has expanded the Distance for that office.

46. In regard to the RFQ, and the references of 10 design build school districts, is this provision a firm requirement or it is acceptable to submit large projects of different types of occupancy other than school districts or per bids and specs projects. This may limit many firms to bid as 10 design build school districts in the last 5 years in the area may be hard to meeting.

Answer: The RFQ does not require 10 past projects. The RFQ only asks that Firms <u>limit</u> their response to no more than the 10 most recent projects. Please provide all past projects that satisfy the criteria, even if it is only a couple past projects.

47. Our company attended the SOQ meeting. May you provide me with pre-qualification for 2023 or link to access. The form provided contains watermarks on the application so we cannot fill out.

Answer: There is no "prequalification" requirement for this Project. Please just respond to the RFQ.

48. For the financial statement to be included, does this count towards the 50 page count limit of the SOQ?

Answer: Attached financial statements do not count towards the 50-page limit.

**Attachment: Mandatory SOQ Meeting Attendance** 

**End of Addendum A** 

# ADDENDUM 1 ATTACHMENT MANDATORY PRE-STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS MEETING ATTENDANCE

Company	Name	Email	Phone Number
A.T.A.C. Inc.	Jessica Parker	jparker@a-tacinc.com	909-580-6586
ADT	Gina Jalali	Unknown	Unknown
ADT	Pat Myers	patmyers@adt.com	714-733-3618
American Dynamics & illustra	David Darling	david.darling@jci.com	562-241-0837
Avidex	Bob Crelin	bob.crelin@avidez.com	949-428-6348
Avidex	George Lamothe	george.lamothe@avidez.com	949-428-6348
Avidex	Lyle Bush	lyle.bush@avidez.com	949-428-6348
Avidex	Matt Kessler	matt.kessler@avidez.com	949-428-6348
Axis Communications Inc.	Bernice Noriz	bernice.noriz@axis.com	949-378-9734
Blue Violet Networks	Breffny Madden	Unknown	714-754-4000
Blueviolet	Randy Toma	rtoma@blueviolet.net	714-270-0847
Bluum	Steven Houser	steven.houser@bluum.com	323-333-4285
Bosch	Mike McCann	Unknown	Unknown
Convergint	Jordan Shaia	jordan.shaia@convergint.com	714-546-2780
Dorado Design & construction Inc.	Ariana Villanueva	arianav@doradodc.com	818-557-1225
Dorado Design & construction Inc.	Derek Belicove	derekb@doradodc.com	805- 558-4304
Enterprise Security, Inc.	Sam Hurst	sam.hurst@entersecurity.com	714-905-4375
Exante360, Inc.	David G. Rickerson	drikerson@exante360.com	510-301-8966
Genetec	Eric McWhorter	Unknown	Unknown
Genetec Inc.	Vince Caso	vcaso@genetec.com	310-750-7025
GST	Yen Ma-Chan	yma@gstinc.com	562-345-8752
Hanwha	Nadine Anderson	Unknown	Unknown
Hanwha	Todd Cabot	Unknown	Unknown
HCI Systems, Inc.	Jason Beardsley	jbeardsley@hcisystems.net	909-957-3322
Inter-Pacific Inc.	Dean Cornell	dcornell@inter-pacific.com	949-290-3158
Inter-Pacific Inc.	Enoch Kang	ekang@inter-pacific.com	714-510-0443
Jam Corporation	Geoff Szabo	geoff.szabo@jamcorporation.com	323-816-2583
JCI	Eric Freking	eric.freking@jci.com	626-825-4561
JCI Security Products	Joe Dunn	joseph.dunn@jci.com	720-383-5373
Manufacturer's Representative	Dennis Martinez	Unknown	Unknown
Milestone	Lindsey OLeary	Unknown	Unkown

# ADDENDUM 1 ATTACHMENT MANDATORY PRE-STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS MEETING ATTENDANCE

Company	Name	Email	Phone Number
Monarch Information Systems	Alexander Sosa	asosa@monarchus.com	408-981-7107
Monarch Information Systems	Christina Saldana	asosa@monarchus.com	408-981-7107
Monarch Information Systems	Ed Saldana	asosa@monarchus.com	408-981-7107
Montgomery Hardware Company	Kendall Myers	kmyers@montgomeryhardware.com	909-204-4056
Motorola Solutions	Ryan Ta	Unknown	Unknown
MVP	Daniel Islas	islas@mvpsecuritysystems.com	714-981-6570
NIC Partners, Inc.	Bob Cook	bcook@nicpartnershinc.com	951-757-7308
NIC Partners, Inc.	Damian Caldwell	dcaldwell@nicpartnersinc.com	Unknown
Ollivier Managed Security	Jeff D-Errico	jeffderrico@olliviercorp.com	310-341-5963
Pacific OneSource, Inc.	David Willmann	David.willmann@stseducation-us.com	
Pacific OneSource, Inc.	Manpreet Batra	manpreet.batra@stseducation-us.com	805-947-4938
Paladin Technologies (USA) Inc.	Joe Morris	jmorris@paladintechnologies.com	714-719-0937
Panasonic	Brian Karadizian	Unknown	Unknown
Ponciano Construction In.	Dane Ponciano	dane@poncianoconstruction.com	619-415-6963
Ponciano Construction In.	Pete Ponciano	pete@poncianoconstruction.com	619-971-6279
Ponciano Construction In.	Tyler Ponciano	tyler@poncianoconstruction.com	Unknown
Siemens	Mark Swanson		Unknown
Siemens Industry, Inc.	Elijah George	Elija.George@siemens.com	657-413-9365
Standard Electronics	Brent Dusenberry	berentd@standardelectronics.us	619-520-9649
Stone Security	Marvin Barnes	marvin@stonesecurity.net	323-714-5178
Time & Alarm Systems	Anna Thompson	athompson@sciensbuildingssolutions.com	909-851-0866
Triad Consulting	Greg Brandon	gbrandon@triadsdg.com	949-943-9422
TRL Systems	Dan Ware	info@trlsystems.com	Unknown
Vector USA	Ernest Hartoonians	ehartoonians@vectorusa.com	310-780-1801
VectorUSA	Scott Shiffer	sshiffer@vectorusa.com	Unknown
Unknown	Chris Ling	Unknown	Unknown
Unknown	Dean Custer	Unknown	Unknown
Unknown	Derek Belcove	Unknown	Unknown
Unknown	Garret Duhberg	Unknown	Unknown
Unknown	Jordan Rousseau	Unknown	Unknown
Unknown	LeeAnn Walter	Unknown	Unknown
Unknown	Mayra Brown	mbrown@birdi-inc.com	Unknown
Unknown	N. Ruiz	Unknown	Unknown